Interacting Viscous Dark Energy in Bianchi Type-III Universe

Hassan Amirhashchi

Young Researchers and Elite Club, Mahshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahshahr, Iran

E-mail: h.amirhashchi@mahriau.ac.ir; hashchi@yahoo.com

arXiv:1403.5258v1 [astro-ph.CO] 20 Mar 2014

Abstract

In this paper we study the evolution of the equation of state of viscous dark energy in the scope of Bianchi type III space-time. We consider the case when the dark energy is minimally coupled to the perfect fluid as well as direct interaction with it. The viscosity and the interaction between the two fluids are parameterized by constants ζ_0 and σ respectively. We have made a detailed investigation on the cosmological implications of this parametrization. To differentiate between different dark energy models, we have performed a geometrical diagnostic by using the statefinder pair $\{s, r\}$.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Es, 98.80-k, 95.36.+x
Key words: Bianchi type-III models, dark energy, statefinder

1 Introduction

Recent Astronomical and astrophysical observations indicate that we live in an accelerating expanding universe (Perlmutter et al. 1997, 1999; Riess et al. 1998, 2001; Tonry et al. 2003; Tegmark et al. 2004). This fact opens a very fundamental question regarding to the source which can produce such an accelerating expansion. Since the ordinary matter (energy) generates an attractive gravitational force, there should be a kind of unknown, non-baryonic source of energy with negative pressure in order to make the expansion of the universe to be accelerating. Of course, the amount of this energy should be larger than the ordinary matter (energy) since first a fraction of this force has to counterbalance the attractive force of ordinary matter and then the rest give rise to acceleration. According to the recent observations we live in a nearly spatially flat Universe composed of approximately 4% baryonic matter, 22% dark matter and 74% dark energy (DE). We know that the ultimate fate of our universe will be determined by dark energy but unfortunately our knowledge about its nature and properties is still very limited. It is not even known what is the current value of the dark energy effective equation of state (EoS) parameter $\omega^X = p^X/\rho^X$. We only know that a kind of exotic energy with negative pressure drives the current accelerating expansion of the universe; and although it dominates the present universe, it was small at early times. This is why so far many candidates have been proposed for dark energy including: cosmological constant ($\omega^X = -1$) (Weinberg 1989; Carroll 2001; Padmanabhan 2003; Peebles & Ratra 2003), quintessence ($-1 < \omega^X < -\frac{1}{3}$) (Wetterich 1988; Ratra & Peebles 1988), phantom ($\omega^X < -1$) (Caldwell 2002), quintom ($\omega^X < -\frac{1}{3}$) (Feng et al. 2005), interacting dark energy models, Chaplygin gas as well as generalized Chaplygin gas models (Srivastava 2005; Bertolami et al. 2004; Bento et al. 2002; Alam et al. 2003), and etc. A cosmological constant (or vacuum energy) seems to be a proper candidate for dark energy which can explain the current acceleration in a natural way, but it would suffer from some theoretical problems such as the fine-tuning and coincidence problems. Quintessence and phantom dark energy models are provided by scalar fields. These models are also encounter to some problems. For example, since recent observations (Hinshaw et al. 2009; Komatsu et al. 2009; Copland et al. 2006; Perivolaropoulos 2006) indicate that $\omega^X < -1$ is allowed at 68% confidence level, quintessence with $\omega^X > -1$ may not be a proper candidate as dark energy. Phantom dark energy models are also suffer from some fundamental problems, such as future singularity problem called Big Rip (Caldwell ey al. 2003; Nesseris & Perivolaropoulos 2004) and the ultraviolet quantum instabilities problem (Carroll et al. 2003). Since recent cosmological observations mildly favor models with a transition from $\omega^X > -1$ to $\omega^X < -1$ near the past (Riess et al. 2004; Choudhury & Padmanabhan 2005), a combination of quintessence and phantom in a unified model called quintom has been proposed (Feng et al. 2005).

Recently the dissipative DE models in which the negative pressure, responsible for the current acceleration, is an effective bulk viscous pressure have been proposed in order to avoid the occurrence of the big rip (McInnes

2002; Barrow 2004). The general theory of dissipation in relativistic imperfect fluid was first suggested by Eckart (1940), Landau and Lifshitz (1987). Although this is only the first-order deviation from equilibrium and may suffer from causality problem, one can still apply it to phenomena which are quasi-stationary, i.e. slowly varying on space and time characterized by the mean free path and the mean collision time. It is worth to mention that the second-order causal theory was obtained by Israel (1976) and developed by Israel and Stewart (1976). The effect of bulk viscosity on the background expansion of the universe has been investigated from different points of view (Cataldo et al. 2005; Bervik & Gorbunova 2005; Szydlowski & Hrycyna 2007; Singh 2008; Feng & Zhou 2009; Oliver et al. 2011; Amirhashchi 2013a,b). There are also some astrophysical observational evidences indicate that the cosmic media is not a perfect fluid (Jaffe et al. 2005). Therefore, the viscosity effect could be concerned in the evolution of the universe. The role of viscous pressure as an agent that drives the present acceleration of the Universe has also been studied in Refs (Zimdhal et al. 2001; Balakin et al. 2003). The possibility of a viscosity dominated late epoch of the Universe with accelerated expansion was already mentioned by Padmanabhan and Chitre (1987).

Interaction between dark energy and dark matter (DM) is a proposal suggested as a possible solution to the coincidence problem (Setare 2007; Jamil & Rashid 2008, 2009; Cimento et al. 2003). Moreover, DE-DM interaction provides the possibility of detecting the dark energy in a natural way. It is worth to mention that the possibility of such an interaction has been supported by the recent observations (Bertolami et al. 2007; Le Delliou et al. 2007; Berger & Shojaei 2006). Interacting dark energy models have been widely investigated in literatures (for example see Amirhashchi et al. 2011 a, b; Amirhashchi et al. 2012; Amirhashchi et al. 2013 ; Amirhashchi 2013a,b,c; Saha et al. 2012; Yadav and Sharma 2013; Yadav 2012; Pradhan et al 2011; Setare 2007a,b,c; Setare et al 2009; Sheykhi & Setare 2010; Jamil & farooq 2010; Zhang 2005; Sajadi & Vodood 2008). A Full dynamical analysis of anisotropic scalar-field cosmology with arbitrary potentials has been studied by Fadragas et al (2013). Recently, Long Zu et al. (2014) have investigated a class of transient acceleration models consistent with Big Bang Cosmology. In this paper, we study the behavior of the viscous dark energy EoS parameter in an anisotropic space-time namely Bianchi type III universe in the following two cases: (i) when DE and DM are minimally coupled i.e there is no any interaction between these two dark components and (ii) when there is an interaction between viscous DE and DM. We parameterize the interaction by a constant σ and viscosity by ζ_0, then a detailed investigation of the cosmological implications of this parametrization will be provided by assuming an energy flow from DE to DM. Finally, to discriminate the different interaction parameters, as usual, a statefinder diagnostic is also performed.

2 The Metric and Field Equations

We consider the Bianchi type-III metric as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2(t)dx^2 + B^2(t)e^{-2\alpha x}dy^2 + C^2(t)dz^2, \tag{1}$$

where $A(t), B(t)$ and $C(t)$ are functions of time only.

We define the following physical and geometric parameters to be used in formulating the law and further in solving the Einstein's field equations for the metric (1).

The average scale factor a of Bianchi type-III model (1) is defined as

$$a = (ABC)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{2}$$

A volume scale factor V is given by

$$V = a^3 = ABC. \tag{3}$$

We define the generalized mean Hubble's parameter H as

$$H = \frac{1}{3}(H_x + H_y + H_z), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{H_x} = \frac{\dot{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{A}}$, $\mathrm{H_y} = \frac{\dot{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{H_z} = \frac{\dot{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{C}}$ are the directional Hubble's parameters in the directions of x, y and z respectively. A dot stands for differentiation with respect to cosmic time t.

From Eqs. (2)-(4), we obtain

$$H = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\dot{V}}{V} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right). \tag{5}$$

The physical quantities of observational interest in cosmology i.e. the expansion scalar θ, the average anisotropy parameter Am and the shear scalar σ^2 are defined as

$$\theta = u^i_{;i} = \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right), \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} H_i^2 - \frac{1}{3}\theta^2\right), \tag{7}$$

$$A_m = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\triangle H_i}{H}\right)^2, \tag{8}$$

where $\triangle H_i = H_i - H (i = x, y, z)$ represents the directional Hubble parameter in the direction of x, y, z respectively. $A_m = 0$ corresponds to isotropic expansion.

The Einstein's field equations (in gravitational units $8\pi G = c = 1$) read as

$$R^i_j - \frac{1}{2}Rg^i_j = -T^{(m)i}_j - T^{(X)i}_j, \tag{9}$$

where T^{mi}_j and T^{Xi}_j are the energy momentum tensors of perfect fluid and viscous DE, respectively. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(m)i}_j &= \mathrm{diag}[-\rho^m, p^m, p^m, p^m], \\ &= \mathrm{diag}[-1, \omega^m, \omega^m, \omega^m]\rho^m, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(X)i}_j &= \mathrm{diag}[-\rho^X,\ p^X,\ p^X,\ p^X], \\ &= \mathrm{diag}[-1, \omega^X,\ \omega^X,\ \omega^X]\rho^X, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where ρ^m and p^m are, respectively the energy density and pressure of the perfect fluid component or ordinary baryonic matter while $\omega^m = p^m/\rho m$ is its EoS parameter. Similarly, ρ^X and p^X are, respectively the energy density and effective pressure of the DE component while $\omega^X = p^X/\rho^X$ is the corresponding EoS parameter. In Eckart's theory (1940) a viscous dark energy EoS is specified by

$$p^X_{eff} = p^X + \Pi. \tag{12}$$

Here $\Pi = -\xi(\rho^X)u^i_{;i}$ is the viscous pressure and $H = \frac{u^i_{;i}}{3}$ is the Hubble's parameter. On thermodynamical grounds, in conventional physics ξ has to be positive. This is a consequence of the positive sign of the entropy change in an irreversible process (Nojiri & Odintsov 2003). In general, $\xi(\rho^X) = \xi_0(\rho^X)^\tau$, where $\xi_0 > 0$ and τ are constant parameters.

In a co-moving coordinate system ($u^i = \delta^i_0$), Einstein's field equations (9) with (10) and (11) for Bianchi type-III metric (1) subsequently lead to the following system of equations:

$$\frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} = -\omega^m\rho^m - \omega^X_{eff}\rho^X + \Pi, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{C}\dot{A}}{CA} = -\omega^m\rho^m - \omega^X_{eff}\rho^X + \Pi, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} - \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2} = -\omega^m\rho^m - \omega^X_{eff}\rho^X + \Pi, \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} - \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2} = \rho^m + \rho^X, \tag{16}$$

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A}-\frac{\dot{B}}{B}\right)=0. \tag{17}$$

The law of energy-conservation equation ($T^{ij}_{;j}=0$) yields

$$\dot{\rho}^m+3(1+\omega^m)\rho^m H+\dot{\rho}^X+3(1+\omega^X_{eff})\rho^X H=0. \tag{18}$$

The Raychaudhuri equation for given distribution is found to be

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}=\frac{1}{2}\xi\theta-\frac{1}{6}(\rho^X+3p^X)-\frac{1}{6}(\rho^m+3p^m)-\frac{2}{3}\sigma^2. \tag{19}$$

3 Solution of the Field Equations

The field equations (13)-(17) are a system of five linearly independent equations with seven unknown parameters A, B, C, ρ^m, p^X, ρ^X, ω^X. Two additional constraints relating these parameters are required to obtain explicit solutions of the system.

Eq. (17), obviously leads to

$$B=\ell_0 A, \tag{20}$$

where ℓ_0 is an integrating constant.

Firstly, we assume that the scalar expansion θ in the model is proportional to the shear scalar. This assumption is in accord with the Thorne study (Thorne 1967) which quotes that the observations of the velocity red shift relation for extragalactic sources suggests that Hubble expansion of the universe is isotropic today to approximately within 30 percent (Kantowski & Sachs 1966; Kristian & Sachs 1966; Mohanty et al. 2007). More precisely, red shift studies place the limit $\frac{\sigma}{H}\leq 0.3$. Therefore, from eqs. (5)-(7) and (20) we get

$$A=C^n, \tag{21}$$

where n is a constant.

Secondly, following Amirhashchi et al (2011) we consider the following ansatz for the scale factor

$$a(t)=\sinh(t). \tag{22}$$

By assuming a time varying deceleration parameter one can generate such a scale factor. It has also been shown that this scale factor is stable under metric perturbation (Chen et al. 2001). In term of red shift the above scale factor turns to

$$a=\frac{1}{1+z},\quad z=\frac{1}{\sinh(t)}-1. \tag{23}$$

Now, by using (13), (14), (20)-(23) we can find the metric components as

$$A=\ell_1\sinh^{\frac{3n}{2n+1}}(t)=\ell_1(1+z)^{-\frac{3n}{2n+1}}, \tag{24}$$

$$B=\ell_2\sinh^{\frac{3n}{2n+1}}(t)=\ell_2(1+z)^{-\frac{3n}{2n+1}}, \tag{25}$$

$$C=\ell_3\sinh^{\frac{n}{2n+1}}(t)=\ell_3(1+z)^{-\frac{3}{2n+1}}, \tag{26}$$

where $\ell_1=K^{-\frac{3n}{(2n+1)}}$, $\ell_2=\ell_0\ell_1$, $\ell_3=\ell_1^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and K is an integrating constant.

Therefore, the metric (1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2=-dt^2+\ell_1^2\sinh^{\frac{6n}{2n+1}}(t)dx^2+\ell_2^2\sinh^{\frac{6n}{2n+1}}(t)e^{-2\alpha x}dy^2\\+\ell_3^2\sinh^{\frac{6}{2n+1}}(t)dz^2.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

One can write the above metric in terms of red shift as

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2=-dt^2+\ell_1^2(1+z)^{-\frac{6n}{2n+1}}dx^2+\ell_2^2(1+z)^{-\frac{6n}{2n+1}}e^{-2\alpha x}dy^2\\+\ell_3^2(1+z)^{-\frac{6}{2n+1}}(t)dz^2.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the following sections we deal with two cases, (i) viscous non-interacting two-fluid model and (ii) viscous interacting two- fluid model.

4 Viscous Dark Energy (Non-Interacting Case)

In this section we assume that two-fluid do not interact with each other. Therefore, the general form of conservation equation (18) leads us to write the conservation equation for the barotropic and dark fluid separately as,

$$\dot{\rho}^m + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\rho^m + p^{(m)}\right) = \dot{\rho}^m + (1+\omega^m)\rho^m(2n+1)\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = 0, \tag{29}$$

and

$$\dot{\rho}^X + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\rho^X + p^X_{eff}\right) = \dot{\rho}^X + (1+\omega^X_{eff})\rho^X(2n+1)\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = 0. \tag{30}$$

Integration of (29) leads to

$$\rho^m = \rho_0 C^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^m)} = \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t) = \rho_0 l_0 (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m)}, \tag{31}$$

where ρ_0 is an integrating constant and $l_0 = \ell_3^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^m)}$.

By using Eqs. (20), (21) and (31) in Eqs. (16) and (13), we obtain

$$\rho^X = n(n+2)\frac{\dot{C}^2}{C^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{C^{2n}} - \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t), \tag{32}$$

and



Figure 1: The EoS parameter ω^X_{eff} versus z for $n = \beta = \alpha = \ell_3 = l_0 = 1$, $\Omega^m_0 = 0.3$. The dots locate the current values of ω^X_{eff}.



Figure 2: The plot of the DE energy density ρ^X, average anisotropy parameter A_m, and the bulk viscosity $\xi(\rho^X)$ vs. z for $\alpha = \ell_3 = \ell_0 = 1$, $\Omega^m = 0.3$, $\xi_0 = 0.1$.

$$p^X = -\left[2n\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + n(3n-2)\frac{\dot{C}^2}{C^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{C^{2n}}\right] - \omega^m \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t). \tag{33}$$

Using Eq. (23) in Eqs. (32) and (33), we obtain the energy density and pressure of DE i.e ρ^X and p^X_{eff} as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^X &= \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}\sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) - \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t) \\ &= \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}} - \rho_0 l_0 (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m)}\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$p^X_{eff} = -\left[\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\cosh^2(t)\right] - \omega^m\rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t) - 3\xi_0 H(\rho^X)^\tau$$

$$= -\left[\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\left[1+(1+z)^{-2}\right]\right] - \omega^m\rho_0 l_0(1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m)} - 3\xi_0 H(\rho^X)^\tau. \quad (35)$$

respectively.

Using above two equations we finally find the effective EoS parameter of DE as

$$\omega^X_{eff} = -\left[\frac{\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\cosh^2(t) + 3\Omega^m_0 l_0\omega^m \sinh^{-3(1-\omega^m)}(t)}{\frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}\sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) - 3\Omega^m_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t)}\right] - \zeta_0 H^\tau(\Omega^X)^{\tau-1}$$

$$= -\left[\frac{\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\left[1+(1+z)^{-2}\right] + 3\Omega^m_0 l_0\omega^m(1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m)}}{\frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}} - 3\Omega^m_0 l_0(1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m)}}\right] - \zeta_0 H^\tau(\Omega^X)^{\tau-1}. \quad (36)$$

Here $\zeta_0 = 3^\tau\xi_0$, Ω^m, and Ω^X are the energy density of matter and DE respectively (note that the subscript 0 indicates the present value of any parameter).

The behavior of EoS parameter for dark energy in terms of red shift z is shown in Fig. 1. Since we are interested in the late time and future evolution of DE, we plot the range of red shift z from -1 to $z = 5$. The parameter Ω^m is taken to be 0. This figure shows that the ω^X_{eff} of non-viscous DE ($\xi_0 = 0$) is only varying in quintessence region whereas the variation of viscose DE starts from quintessence region, crossing PDL, and varies in phantom region. But the EoS of both non-viscous and viscous DE ultimately approaches to cosmological constant region ($\omega^X_{eff} = -1$) independent of the value of ξ_0. This behavior clearly shows that the phantom phase i.e $\omega^X_{eff} < -1$ is an unstable phase and there is a transition from phantom to the cosmological constant phase at late time. The variations of energy density of ρ^X, mean anisotropy parameter A_m, and bulk viscosity $\xi(\rho^X)$ are depicted in Fig. 2. As it is expected all these parameters are decreasing functions and approaches to zero at late tim ($z = -1$)

The matter density Ω^m and dark energy density Ω^X are also given by



Figure 3: The plot of Ω^X versus Ω^m for $n = \ell_0 = \ell_3 = 1$, $\Omega^m_0 = 0.3$. The solid line indicates flat universe ($n = 1$, $\alpha = 0$). The dots locate the current values of Ω^X and Ω^m.



Figure 4: The plot of energy Ω^m and Ω^X versus redshift (z) for $\Omega^m_0 = 0.3$, $\ell_0 = n = 1$. The dots locate the current values of Ω^X and Ω^m.

$$\Omega^m = \frac{\rho^m}{3H^2} = \frac{\rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1-\omega^m)}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)} = \Omega^m_0 l_0(1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m)}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$\Omega^X = \frac{\rho^X}{3H^2} = \frac{3n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n} \sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) + \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)}$$

$$= \frac{3n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}}{3\left[1+(1+z)^2\right]} - \Omega_0^m l_0 (1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m)} \tag{38}$$

respectively. Adding Eqs. (37) and (38), we obtain total energy (Ω)

$$\Omega = \Omega^m + \Omega^X = \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n} \sinh^{-\frac{6n}{2n+1}}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)}$$

$$= \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{2n+1}}}{3\left[1+(1+z)^2\right]}. \tag{39}$$

Figure 3 shows the values of Ω_0^X and Ω_0^m which are permitted by our model. The line $1 = \Omega^X + \Omega^m$ represents a flat universe separating open from closed universes. From this figure we observe that for $\alpha = 0,\ n = 1$ which represents a spatially flat universe ($\Omega = 1$), $\Omega_0^X \approx 0.76$, and $\Omega_0^m \approx 0.24$. Other models with different values of $\alpha \neq 0$, represent various open universes ($\Omega < 1$).
The variation of density parameters Ω^m and Ω^X with red shift z have been depicted in Fig. 4. It is observed that Ω^X increases as red shift decreases and approaches to 1 at late time whereas Ω^m decreases as z decreases and approaches to zero at late time.

5 Viscous Dark Energy (Interacting Case)

In this section we consider the interaction between dark and barotropic fluids. For this purpose we can write the continuity equations for barotropic and dark fluids as

$$\dot{\rho}^m + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho^m + p^m) = \dot{\rho}^m + (1+\omega^m)\rho^m(2n+1)\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = Q, \tag{40}$$

and

$$\dot{\rho}^X + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\rho^X + p^X_{eff}\right) = \dot{\rho}^X + (1+\omega^X_{eff})\rho^X(2n+1)\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = -Q. \tag{41}$$

The quantity Q expresses the interaction between the dark components. Since we are interested in an energy transfer from the dark energy to dark matter, we consider $Q > 0$. $Q > 0$, ensures that the second law of thermodynamics is fulfilled (Pavon & Wang 2009). Here we emphasize that the continuity Eqs. (40) and (41) imply that the interaction term (Q) should be proportional to a quantity with units of inverse of time i.e $Q \propto \frac{1}{t}$. Therefore, a first and natural candidate can be the Hubble factor H multiplied with the energy density. Following Amendola et al (2007) and Gou et al (2007), we consider

$$Q = H\sigma\rho^m, \tag{42}$$

where σ is a coupling constant. Using Eq. (42) in Eq. (40) and after integrating, we obtain

$$\rho^m = \rho_0 C^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^m-\sigma)} = \rho_0 l \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}(T), \tag{43}$$

where $l = \ell_3^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^{(m)}-\sigma)}$.

By using Eqs. (20), (21) and (43) in Eqs. (13) and (16), we obtain

$$\rho^X = n(n+2)\frac{\dot{C}^2}{C^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{C^{2n}} - \rho_0 C^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}, \tag{44}$$

and

$$p^X = -\left[2n\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + n(3n-2)\frac{\dot{C}^2}{C^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{C^{2n}}\right] - \rho_0(\omega^m - \sigma)C^{-(2n+1)(1+\omega^{(m)}-\sigma)}. \tag{45}$$

Using Eq. (26) in Eqs. (44) and (45), we obtain the values of ρ^X and p^X_{eff} as

$$\rho^X = \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}\sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) - \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}(t)$$

$$= \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}} - \rho_0 l_0 (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)} \tag{46}$$

and

$$p^X_{eff} = -\left[\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\cosh^2(t)\right] - (\omega^m-\sigma)\rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}(t) - 3\xi_0 H(\rho^X)^\tau$$

$$= -\left[\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\left[1+(1+z)^{-2}\right]\right] - (\omega^m-\sigma)\rho_0 l_0 (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)} - 3\xi_0 H(\rho^X)^\tau. \tag{47}$$

respectively.

Also the EoS parameter for DE (ω^X_{eff}) is obtained as



Figure 5: The EoS parameter ω^X_{eff} versus z for $n = \beta = \alpha = \ell_3 = l_0 = 1$, $\Omega^m_0 = 0.3$. The dots locate the current values of ω^X_{eff}. In this case, we fix $\zeta_0 = 0$ and vary σ.



Figure 6: The EoS parameter ω^X_{eff} versus z for $n = \beta = \alpha = \ell_3 = l_0 = 1$, $\Omega^m_0 = 0.3$. The dots locate the current values of ω^X_{eff}. In this case, we fix $\sigma = 0.3$ and vary ζ_0.

$$\omega^X_{eff} = -\left[\frac{\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\cosh^2(t) + 3\Omega^m_0 l_0(\omega^m-\sigma)\sinh^{-3(1-\omega^m)}(t)}{\frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\coth^2(t) - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}\sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) - 3\Omega^m_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m)}(t)}\right] - \zeta_0 H^\tau (\Omega^X)^{\tau-1}$$

$$= -\left[\frac{\frac{9(n^2+n+1)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \frac{3(n+1)}{(2n+1)}\left[1+(1+z)^{-2}\right] + 3\Omega^m_0 l_0(\omega^m-\sigma)(1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m)}}{\frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2}\left[1+(1+z)^2\right] - \alpha^2\ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}} - 3\Omega^m_0 l_0(1+z)^{3(1+\omega^m)}}\right] - \zeta_0 H^\tau (\Omega^X)^{\tau-1}. \tag{48}$$

The behavior of EoS (ω^X_{eff}) parameter for dark energy in terms of red shift z is shown in Figures. 5, 6. Again, since we are interested in the late time and future evolution of DE, we plot the range of red shift z from -1 to $z = 5$. Here the parameter ω^m is taken to be 0. In Fig. 5 we fix the parameter $\zeta_0 = 0$ and vary σ as 0, 0.3, and 0.5 respectively; in Fig. 6 we fix $\sigma = 0.3$ and vary ζ_0 as 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 respectively. The plots show that the evolution of ω^X_{eff} depends on the parameters σ and ζ_0 apparently. It is clear that (from Fig. 5) the interaction

alleviate the EoS parameter of DE to go to darker regions as in non-interacting case (Fig. 1). But considering the bulk viscosity in the cosmic fluid, compensates the effect of interaction (see Fig. 6).

The expressions for the matter-energy density Ω^m and dark-energy density Ω^X are given by



Figure 7: The plot of Ω^X versus Ω^m for $n = \ell_0 = \ell_3 = 1$, $\Omega_0^m = 0.3$. The solid line indicates flat universe ($n = 1$, $\alpha = 0$). The dots locate the current values of Ω^X and Ω^m. In this case, we fix $\alpha = 2$ and vary σ.



Figure 8: The plot of Ω^X versus Ω^m for $n = \ell_0 = \ell_3 = 1$, $\Omega_0^m = 0.3$. The solid line indicates flat universe ($n = 1$, $\alpha = 0$). The dots locate the current values of Ω^X and Ω^m. In this case, we fix $\sigma = 0.5$ and vary α.

$$\Omega^m = \frac{\rho^m}{3H^2} = \frac{\rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)} = \Omega_0^m l_0 (1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m-\sigma)}, \tag{49}$$

and

$$\Omega^X = \frac{\rho^X}{3H^2} = \frac{3n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n} \sinh^{-\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}(t) + \rho_0 l_0 \sinh^{-3(1+\omega^m-\sigma)}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)}$$

$$= \frac{3n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{(2n+1)}}}{3\left[1+(1+z)^2\right]} - \Omega_0^m l_0 (1+z)^{3(1-\omega^m-\sigma)} \tag{50}$$

respectively. Adding Eqs. (49) and (50), we obtain total energy (Ω)

$$\Omega = \Omega^m + \Omega^X = \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n} \sinh^{-\frac{6n}{2n+1}}(t)}{3\coth^2(t)}$$

$$= \frac{9n(n+2)}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 \ell_3^{-2n}(1+z)^{\frac{6n}{2n+1}}}{3\left[1+(1+z)^2\right]}. \tag{51}$$

which is the same as Eq. (38). Therefore, we observe that in interacting case the density parameter has the same properties as in non-interacting case.

The values of Ω^m and Ω^X which are permitted by our models in interacting case are shown in Figures. 7, 8. In both figures the line $1 = \Omega^m + \Omega^X$ indicates a flat universe separating open from closed universes. In Fig. 7 we fix the parameter $\alpha = 2$ and vary σ as 0, 0.3, and 0.5 respectively; in Fig. 8 we fix $\sigma = 0.5$ and vary α as 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 respectively. The plots show that the evolution of Ω^X versus Ω^m depends on the parameters σ and α apparently. Fig. 9 depicts the evolution of the relative densities. From this figure we observe that the interaction parameter σ brings impact on the evolution of the densities depending to its value.



Figure 9: The plot of energy Ω^m and Ω^X versus redshift (z) for $\Omega_0^m = 0.3,\ \ell_0 = n = 1,\ \sigma = 0.5$. The dots locate the current values of Ω^X and Ω^m.

6 Statefinder Diagnostic

Since there are many models suggested in order to describe the current cosmic acceleration, it is very important to find a way to discriminating between the various contenders in a model-independent manner. For this purpose, Sahni et al (2003) have introduced a new cosmological diagnostic pair $\{s, r\}$ called the statefinder. The parameters$s$ and r are dimensionless and only depend on the scale factor a, therefore $\{s, r\}$ is a geometrical diagnostic. They were defined as

$$r \equiv \frac{\dddot{a}}{aH^3}, \qquad s \equiv \frac{r - \Omega}{3(q - \frac{\Omega}{2})}. \tag{52}$$

Here the formalism of Sahni and coworkers is extended to permit curved universe models. Using these parameters one can differentiate between different forms of dark energy. For example, although the quintessence, phantom and Chaplygin gas models tend to approach the ΛCDM fixed point $(\{s, r\}_{\Lambda CDM} = \{0, 1\})$, for quintessence and phantom models the trajectories lie in the region $s > 0,\ r < 1$ whereas for Chaplygin gas models trajectories lie in region $s < 0,\ r > 1$.
In general, the statefinder parameters are given by

$$r = \Omega^m + \frac{9\omega^X}{2}\Omega^X(1 + \omega^X) - \frac{3}{2}\Omega^X\frac{\dot{\omega}^X}{H}, \tag{53}$$

$$s = 1 + \omega^X - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\dot{\omega}^X}{\omega^X H}. \tag{54}$$

Since we have the analytical expression of ω^X_{eff} in both non-interacting and interacting cases we can easily obtain $\frac{\dot{\omega}^X_{eff}}{H}$. Thus, we can calculate the statefinder parameters in this scenario.

The evolution of the ststefinder pair $\{s, r\}$ is shown in Figures. 10, 11. In Fig. 10 we fix the parameter $\sigma = 0$ and vary α as 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 respectively; in Fig. 11 we fix $\alpha = 2$ and vary σ as 0, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.5 respectively. The filled circles show the current values of statefinder pair $\{s, r\}$ for different dark energy models. Here, we observe that the interaction parameter σ makes the model evolve along different trajectories on the $s - r$ plane.



Figure 10: $s-r$ evolution diagram. The dots locate the current values of the statefinder pair $\{s, r\}$. In this case, we fix $\sigma = 0$ (non-interacting case) and vary α.



Figure 11: $s-r$ evolution diagram. The dots locate the current values of the statefinder pair $\{s, r\}$. In this case, we fix $\alpha = 2$ (interacting case) and vary σ.

7 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we studied dark energy in the scope of anisotropic Bianchi type III space-time. We considered two cases (i) when DE and DM do not interact with each other and (ii) when there is an interaction between these two dark components. In non-interacting as well as weak interacting ($\sigma \sim 0$) cases we observed that in absence of viscosity, dark energy EoS parameter dose not cross the phantom divided line (PDL) and hence always vary in quintessence region. However, in both cases when dark energy is considered to be viscous rather than perfect, it's EoS parameter could cross the PDL depending on the values of coupling constant σ and bulk viscosity coefficient ζ_0. But in this case although the dark energy EoS parameter could cross PDL and vary in phantom region ultimately tends to the cosmological constant region $\omega^{de} = -1$. This special behavior of the EoS parameter is because of our choose of bulk viscosity which is a decreasing function of time (redshift) in expanding universe. It has also been shown that in both cases according to the Ω^X-Ω^m phase diagram (see figs. 3, 7, 8), deviation from flat universe ($\Omega = 1$) only depends on the geometric parameter α not to the interaction parameter σ.

Acknowledgments

Author would like to thank Mahshahr branch of Islamic Azad University for providing facility and support where this work was carried out.

References

[1] Abraham, R. G., et al. 2004, Astron. J, 127, 2455

[2] Alam, U., Sahni, V., Saini, T. D., Starobinsky, A. A. 2003, Mon. Not.vRoy. Astron. Soc, 344, 1057

[3] Amendola, L., Camargo Campos, G., Rosenfeld, R. 2007, Phys. Rev. D, 75, 083506

[4] Amirhashchi, H. 2013a, Astrophys. Space Sci. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-013-1409-2

[5] Amirhashchi, H. 2013b, Astrophys. Space Sci. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-013-1675-z

[6] Amirhashchi, H. 2013c, RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics), 13, 387

[7] Amirhashchi, H., Pradhan, A., Jaiswal, R. 2013, Int. J. Theor. Phys, 52, 2735

[8] Amirhashchi, H., Pradhan, A., Saha, B. 2011a, Chin. Phys. Lett, 28, 039801

[9] Amirhashchi, H., Pradhan, A., Zainuddin, H. 2011b, Int. J. Theor. Phys, 50, 3529

[10] Amirhashchi, H., Pradhan, A., Zainuddin, H. 2012, RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics), 13, 129

[11] Balakin, A. B., Pavón, D., Schwarz, D. J., Zimdahl, W. 2003, New. J. Phys, 5, 85

[12] Barrow, J. D. 2004, Class. Quantum Grav, 21, L79

[13] Bento, M. C., Bertolami, O., Sen, A. A. 2002, Phys. Rev D, 66, 043507

[14] Berger, M. S., Shojaei, H. 2006, Phys. Rev. D, 74, 043530

[15] Bertolami, O., et al. 2004, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc, 353, 329

[16] Bertolami, O., Gil Pedro, F., Le Delliou, M. 2007, Phys. Lett. B, 654, 165

[17] Brevik, I., Gorbunova, O. 2005, Gen. Relat. Grav, 37, 2039

[18] Caldwell, R. R. 2002, Phys. Lett. B, 545, 23

[19] Caldwell, R. R., Kamionkowski, M., Weinberg, N. N. 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett, 91, 071301

[20] Carroll, S. M. 2001, Living. Rel, 4, 1

[21] Carroll, S. M., Hoffman, M., Trodden, M. 2003, Phys. Rev. D, 68, 023509

[22] Cataldo, M., Cruz, N., Lepe, S. 2005, Phys. Lett. B, 619, 5

[23] Chandler, J. P. 1969, Behavioral Science, 14, 81

[24] Chen, C.-M., Kao, W. F. 2001, Phys. Rev. D, 64, 124019

[25] Choudhury, T. R., Padmanabhan, T. 2005, Astron. Astrophys, 429, 807

[26] Cimento, L. P., et al. 2003, Phys. Rev. D, 74, 087302

[27] Copeland, E. J., Sami, M., Tsujikawa, S. 2006, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 15, 1753

[28] Eckart, C. 1940, Phys. Rev, 58, 919

[29] Fadragas, C. R., Leon, G., Saridakis, E. N. 2013, arXiv:1308.1658

[30] Feng, B., Wang, X. L., Zhang, X. 2005, Phys. Lett. B, 607, 35

[31] Feng, C. J., Zhou, X. 2009, Phys. Lett. B, 680, 355

[32] Guo, Z. K., Ohta, N., Tsujikawa, S. 2007, Phys. Rev. D, 76, 023508

[33] Hinshaw, G., et al. 2009, Astrophys. J. Suppl, 180, 225

[34] Israel, W. 1976, Ann. Phys, 100, 310

[35] Israel, W., Stewart, J. M. 1976, Phys. Lett. A, 58, 213

[36] Jaffe, T. R., Banday, A. J., Eriksen, H. K., Gòrski, K. M., Hansen, F. K. 2005, Astrophys. J, 629, L1

[37] Jamil, M., Farooq, M. U. 2010, Int. J. Theor. Phys, 49, 42

[38] Jamil, M., Rashid, M. A. 2008, Eur, Phys. J. C, 58, 111

[39] Jamil, M., Rashid, M. A. 2009, Eur, Phys. J. C, 60, 141

[40] Kantowski, R., Sachs, R. K. 1966, J. Math. Phys, 7, 433

[41] Komatsu, E., et al. 2009, Astrophys. J. Suppl, 180, 330

[42] Komatsu, E., et al. 2011, Astrophys. J. Suppl, 192, 18

[43] Kristian, J., Sachs, R. K. 1966, Astrophys. J, 143, 379

[44] Landau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M. 1987, Fluid Mechanics, 2nd., Pergamon Press, Oxford, sect. 49

[45] Le Delliou, M., Bertolami, O., Gil Pedro, F. 2007, AIP Conf. Proc, 957, 421

[46] Luongo, O. 2011, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 26, 1459

[47] McInnes, B. 2002, J. High Energy Phys, 0208, 029

[48] Mohanty, G., Sahoo, R. R., Mahanta, K. L. 2007, Astrophys. Space Sci, 312, 321

[49] Nesseris., Perivolaropoulos, L. 2004, Phys. Rev. D, 70, 123529

[50] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S. D. 2003, Phys. Lett. B, 562, 147

[51] Oliver, F., Piattella, Júlio C., Fabris., Zimdahl, W. 2011, JCAP, 029, 1

[52] Padmanabhan, T. 2003, Phys. Rep, 380, 235

[53] Padmanabhan, T., Chitre, S. 1987, Phys. Lett. A, 120, 433

[54] Pavon, D., Wang, B. 2009, Gen. Relativ. Gravit, 41, 1

[55] Peebles, P. J. E., Ratra, B. 2003, Rev. Mod. Phys, 75, 559

[56] Perivolaropoulos, L. 2006, AIP Conf. Proc, 848, 698

[57] Perlmutter, S., et al. 1997, Astrophys. J, 483, 565

[58] Perlmutter, S., et al. 1999, Astrophys. J, 517, 565

[59] Pradhan, A., Amirhashchi, H., Saha, B. 2011, Astrophys. Space Sci, 333, 343

[60] Ratra, B., Peebles, P. J. E. 1988, Phys. Rev. D, 37, 321

[61] Riess, A. G., et al. 1998, Astron. J. 116, 1009

[62] Riess, A. G., et al. 2001, Astrophys. J, 560, 49

[63] Riess, A. G., et al. 2004, Astrophys. J. 607, 665

[64] Saha, B., Amirhashchi, H., Pradhan, A. 2012, Astrophys. Space Sci, 342, 257

[65] Sahni, V., Saini, T. D., Starobinsky, A. A., Alam, U. 2003, JETP Lett, 77, 201

[66] Sajadi, M. S., Vodood, N. 2008, JCAP, 808, 036

[67] Setare, M.R. 2007a, Phys. Let. B, 644, 99

[68] Setare, M.R. 2007b, Eur. Phys. J. C, 50, 991

[69] Setare, M. R. 2007c, Eur, Phys. J. C, 52, 689

[70] Setare, M. R. 2007, Phys. Let. B, 654, 1

[71] Setare, M. R., Sadeghi, J., Amani, R. R. 2009, Phys. Let. B, 673, 241

[72] Singh, C. P. 2008, Pramana. J. Phys, 71, 33

[73] Sheykhi, A., Setare, M. R. 2010, Int. J. theor. Phys, 49, 2777

[74] Srivastava, S.K. 2005, Phys. Lett. B, 619, 1

[75] Szydlowski, M., Hrycyna, O. 2007, Ann. Phys, 322, 2745

[76] Tegmark, M., et al. 2004, Phys. Rev. D, 69, 103501

[77] Thorne, K. S. 1967, Astrophys. J, 148, 51

[78] Tonry, J. L., et al. 2003, Astrophys. J, 594, 1

[79] Weinberg, S. 1989, Rev. Mod. Phys, 61, 1

[80] Wetterich, C. 1988, Nucl. Phys. B, 302, 668

[81] Yadav, A. K. 2012, RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics), 12, 1467

[82] Yadav, A. K., Sharma, A. 2013, RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics), 13, 501

[83] Zhang, X. 2005, Commun. Theor. Phys, 44, 762

[84] Zimdahl, W., Schwarz, D. J., Balakin, A. B., Pavón, D. 2001, Phy. Rev. D, 64, 063501

[85] Zu, T. L., Chen, J. W., Zhang. Y. 2014, RAA (Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics), 14, 129